

**From:** [Evison, Leah](#)  
**To:** [Evison, Leah \(MPCA\)](#)  
**Subject:** FW: my contact info  
**Date:** Tuesday, March 25, 2014 10:06:00 AM  
**Attachments:** [image003.png](#)

---

---

**From:** Tracy Hoganson [mailto:Tracy.Hoganson@anteagroup.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 4:24 PM  
**To:** Evison, Leah  
**Subject:** RE: my contact info

Leah,

It was nice to meet you today, thank you for taking the time to participate. We feel your participation is valuable in this effort.

I had noted one of your comments, however not as concisely as you describe below. If there are others please continue to provide to me and I will include in our metrics.

Enjoy the rest of the day, it finally feels closer to spring.

Thanks,

**Tracy Hoganson | Project Manager | USA**

**Antea®Group**

Direct +1 651 697 5141 | Mobile + 1 952 270 0430 | Toll Free + 1 800 477 7411

[tracy.hoganson@anteagroup.com](mailto:tracy.hoganson@anteagroup.com) <http://us.anteagroup.com/>



Member of Inogen® | [www.inogenet.com](http://www.inogenet.com)

---

**From:** Evison, Leah [mailto:[evison.leah@epa.gov](mailto:evison.leah@epa.gov)]  
**Sent:** Thursday, March 28, 2013 12:42 PM  
**To:** Tracy Hoganson  
**Subject:** my contact info

Here is my contact info below. Feel free to get in touch if there's anything I can do to help.

FYI - two disconnects between EPA and MPCA Superfund groundwater policies that I have noticed are:

Cleanup goal -- EPA guidance says we should clean up aquifers to their anticipated future use, and we have little flexibility in what that future use is (i.e., unless the State has a promulgated groundwater classification system, we use the SDWA to classify aquifers, resulting in most aquifers being considered potential sources of drinking water.) It's not very clear to me how MPCA decides what the anticipated future use of an aquifer is, but in practice it results in them deciding that more often than EPA does that the anticipated use is something other than drinking water. (Site example - General Mills in Minneapolis)

Where it is applied -- EPA applies it throughout the plume; MPCA usually applies it somewhere else, often at the property boundary. This causes a big disconnect when we want to delete a site (Site example - Nutting in Faribault).

It will be great if this benchmarking effort leads to clearer groundwater policies at MPCA, then it would be easier to flag differences earlier in the process when there is both a State and Federal interest at a site.

Leah

**Leah Evison**

US EPA Remedial Project Manager/Region 5  
outstationed at  
520 Lafayette Rd N  
St. Paul MN 55155  
[evison.leah@epa.gov](mailto:evison.leah@epa.gov)  
St. Paul office 651-757-2898

This e-mail is personal. For our full disclaimer, please visit  
<http://www.anteagroup.com/confidentiality>.